1	JAMES P.C. SILVESTRI, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 3603				
2	BRIAN W. GOLDMAN, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 6317				
3	PYATT SILVESTRI				
4	701 Bridger Avenue, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 T. (702) 383-6000				
5	F. (702) 477-0088				
6	jsilvestri@pyattsilvestri.com bgoldman@pyattsilvestri.com				
7	Attorney for Defendant FEDERAL INSURANCE COMPANY				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
10	DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
11	ABC INDUSTRIAL LAUNDRY. LLC, a	CACE NO : 2.15 00000 DED VO			
12	ABC INDUSTRIAL LAUNDRY. LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, dba UNIVERSAL LAUNDRY and SUPPLY,	CASE NO.: 2:15-cv-00869-RFB-VC			
13	Plaintiff,	THE PARTIES' STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER			
14	VS.	TO EXTEND THE DEADLINES TO DISCLOSE EXPERTS			
15	FEDERAL INSURANCE COMPANY, an Indiana corporation; NATIONWIDE	TO DISCLOSE EXTENTS			
16	MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY, an Ohio corporation,				
17	Defendants.				
18					
19	Pursuant to LR 6-1 and LR 26-4,	the Parties, by their counsel of record,			
20	hereby stipulate and request that this Court amend the current Scheduling Order of				
21	January 19, 2018 [Doc. 62] to extend the deadline to disclose expert witnesses until				
22	August 31, 2018 for the reasons outlined herein. ¹				
23	In support of this Stipulation and Request, the Parties state as follows:				
24	Plaintiff ABC INDUSTRIAL LAUNDRY, LLC ("ABC") is a commercial				
25	laundry that specializes in laundering line	ns from high end resorts in Las Vegas,			
26					
27	¹ The parties intend to participate in a disease the feasibility and timing of	scussion within the next seven days to			
28	explore the feasibility and timing of Thereafter, the parties will submit a proper and approval.	osed Stipulation for the Court's review			

NV. This case is an action by ABC against FEDERAL INSURANCE COMPANY ("Federal") and NATIONWIDE MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY ("Nationwide") stemming from a loss suffered by one of ABC's customers related to the alleged negligence of ABC's chemical supplier. ABC alleges that there is coverage for the loss within Policies from both insurers. Both insurers deny that coverage exists. The case involves multiple complex insurance coverage issues and requires significant discovery of numerous party witnesses as well as numerous third-party witnesses.

Further complicating matters, ABC also has litigation pending against the Belgium based chemical manufacturer, Christeyns Laundry Technology, in Clark County District Court (the "state court action"). There is extensive overlap in the need for testimony between the two cases, and the Parties to this case and the state court action have been communicating several times per week to coordinate discovery efforts for the sake of efficiency and economy.

The summary of the activity in this case to date is as follows:

- 1. On June 29, 2015, the Court granted the Proposed Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order of ABC and Defendant Federal setting expert disclosures deadlines of November 6, 2015 and December 7, 2015, and a discovery cut-off date of February 5, 2016 [Doc. 9]. At that time, Defendant Nationwide was not a party to the case.
- 2. On December 11, 2015, ABC and Federal filed a Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery (First Request) requesting an extension of the discovery cut-off dates to allow ABC to amend its Complaint to add Nationwide as an additional defendant to the case [Doc. 10].
- 3. On December 14, 2015, the Court granted ABC's and Federal's Stipulation an Order to Extend Discovery (First Request), setting a new close of discovery deadline of June 5, 2016 [Doc. 12].

- 4. On December 15, 2015, the Court granted ABC's and Federal's Stipulation to Permit Plaintiff to File an Amended Complaint [Doc. 13] and ABC filed its First Amended Complaint adding Nationwide as a defendant on the same date [Doc. 14].
- 5. Nationwide first appeared in this case on January 22, 2016, when it filed its Answer to ABC's First Amended Complaint [Doc. 19].
- 6. On February 18, 2016, the Parties filed a Stipulation and Proposed Order to Amend the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (Second Request) because of Nationwide's recent appearance in the case to allow time for the Parties to engage in discovery. On February 19, 2016, the Court granted the Parties' stipulation and entered an Order extending the deadlines and dates, setting a new discovery cut-off date of January 6, 2017 [Doc. 22].
- 7. On September 13, 2016, the Parties filed a Stipulation and Proposed Order to Amend the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (Third Request) because the Parties needed additional time to coordinate and complete depositions of necessary fact witnesses and were awaiting responses to numerous document subpoenas served on various Non-Parties believed to have relevant and discovery information relating to the claims in this case. On September 22, 2016, the Court granted the Parties' Stipulation and Proposed Order to Amend Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (Third Request), setting a May 5, 2017 close of discovery deadline, and deadlines of February 7, 2017 to disclose experts and March 7, 2017 to disclose rebuttal experts [Doc. 31]. The Court also granted the Parties' proposed Stipulated Protective Order [Doc. 30].
- 8. On January 17, 2017, the Parties filed a Stipulation and Proposed Order to Amend the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (Fourth Request) because the Parties needed additional time to coordinate and complete depositions of necessary fact witnesses, the Parties believed, among other things, were necessary for their respective experts to formulate opinions in this case. On

January 18, 2017, the Court granted the Parties' Stipulation and Proposed Order to Amend Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (Fourth Request), setting an October 6, 2017 close of discovery deadline, and deadlines of June 6, 2017 to disclose experts and July 7, 2017 to disclose rebuttal experts [Doc. 37].

- 9. On May 16, 2017, the Parties filed a Stipulation and Proposed Order to Amend the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (Fifth Request) because the Parties needed additional time to coordinate and complete depositions of necessary fact witnesses the Parties believed, among other things, were necessary for their respective experts to formulate opinions in this case. On May 17, 2017, the Court granted the Parties' Stipulation and Proposed Order to Amend Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (Fifth Request), setting a January 1, 2018 close of discovery deadline, and deadlines of September 6, 2017 to disclose experts and October 6, 2017 to disclose rebuttal experts [Doc. 50].
- 10. On October 25, 2017, the Parties participated in hearing regarding Stipulation for Extension of Time [Doc. 56] before Magistrate Judge Cam Ferenbach [Doc. 58].
- 11. On January 30, 2018, the Court approved the Parties' Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order setting an October 15, 2018 close of discovery deadline, and deadlines of July 17, 2018 to disclose experts and August 16, 2018 to disclose rebuttal experts [Doc. 63].
 - 12. The discovery that has been completed to date includes:
 - a. Exchange of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures, including documents, and supplemental disclosures;
 - b. The Parties have exchanged written discovery, including requests for admissions, requests for production of documents, and interrogatories;
 - c. A stipulated protective order was entered on September 22, 2016, to facilitate the discovery and production of documents deemed

	ı
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	۱

confic	dential,	proprietar	y or	other	wise	e trade	secre	by	the
producing party, including certain Non-Parties who have indicated									
they	cannot	produce	docun	nents	in	response	e to	docur	nent
subpo	enas wit	thout a pro	tective	order	in p	lace;			

- d. Defendants Nationwide and Federal have identified their respective Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) witnesses in response to categories presented by ABC, and the Parties are working to coordinate scheduling of the various depositions;
- e. To date, document subpoenas have been served on the following Non-Parties:
 - 1. Christeyns Laundry Technology, LLC;
 - 2. Companion Commercial Insurance Company;
 - 3. International Textile Analysis Laboratory;
 - 4. Liberty Mutual Insurance Company;
 - 5. Nationwide Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, PA.
 - 6. Rimkus Consulting Group, Inc.;
 - 7. Sobel Westex Quality Control;
 - 8. Textile Solutions, LLC;
 - 9. Universal Garment Wash & Dye, LLC;
 - 10. Wynn Las Vegas, LLC;
 - 11. Brown & Brown Northwest;
 - 12. Exponent Failure Analysis Associates;
 - 13. CHEM-BAC Laboratories, Inc.; and
 - 14. Frank Campagna, Swarts & Swarts.
- f. Over 125,000 pages of documents have been produced by ABC in response to discovery served by Federal and Nationwide. In addition, in response to the subpoenas above, the Parties have

opposition on April 28, 2017 [Doc. 46]. The Motion was heard on August 24, 2017.

- 15. The Parties have been working diligently to exchange discovery and documents, and to identify and coordinate the depositions each party believes are necessary in preparation for trial. To allow the Parties more time to complete this discovery, and coordinate and complete the depositions discussed below, and because of the approaching expert disclosure deadline for which the Parties' experts will need deposition testimony to formulate their opinions, the Parties request a short continuance of the current deadlines for the following reasons:
 - a. The case involves complex insurance coverage questions involving both property and liability claims under policies of insurance issued by different insurers (Federal and Nationwide), as well as allegations by ABC relating to Federal's and Nationwide's handling of ABC's claims. Accordingly, the Parties intend to conduct discovery on at least the following subjects:
 - (1) the factual and legal basis for ABC's breach of contract, tortious breach of the duty of good faith and fair dealing, and breach of statutory duties (NRS §686A.310) claims;
 - (2) the factual and legal basis, extent, amount, and nature of ABC's claimed damages, including alleged consequential damages;
 - (3) facts surrounding the damage to Wynn's linens and other goods alleged by ABC, upon information and belief, to have resulted from the negligence on the part of Christeyns Laundry Technology USA ("Christeyns");
 - (4) facts surrounding the lawsuit filed by Wynn against ABC for claimed damage to its products;
 - (5) Federal's and Nationwide's affirmative defenses in this matter;

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

2021

2223

24

25

26

27

28

and

(6) the investigation of and response by Federal and Nationwide to ABC's respective claims submitted under the policies of insurance which are at issue in this litigation.

b. On August 15 and August 16, 2017, the Parties finally proceeded with the Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) deposition of nonparty Christeyns Laundry Technology USA ("Christeyns") in Boston, MA. Christeyns is the company ABC contends managed and coordinated the laundry chemical formulation and injection into the laundry system in ABC Industrial Laundry, LLC's ("ABC") commercial laundry facility, and who ABC contends is responsible for the damage to the Wynn Las Vegas, LLC's ("Wynn") linens and other goods at issue in this case. In addition to Christeyns being a material fact witness in this case, ABC has sued Christeyns in state District Court, Clark County (docketed as case no. A-15-720810-C). The Parties have engaged in lengthy meet and confer discussions to coordinate Mr. Moors' deposition to occur at the same time for both cases in Boston, MA (where Christeyns' North America subsidiary and counsel are located).

Initially, Christeyns had previously agreed to produce Mr. Moors for deposition in Las Vegas and the deposition was set for February 15, 2017 in Las Vegas. However, just days prior to this scheduled deposition, a dispute arose between ABC and Christeyns over Christeyns' production of documents. The deposition was reset for March 15, 2017, and just two (2) business days before the deposition was to commence, Christeyns attempted to retract certain documents from production upon the assertion of privilege, which resulted in the need for ABC to continue Christeyns' deposition and engage in a meet and confer on the

document production issues that arose. The deposition finally proceeded in Boston, MA, on August 15 and August 16, 2017.

c. Additional depositions anticipated include:

- (1) ABC's principals and employees, Ran Brisman, Avi Cohen, and Jim Smail;
- (2) Federal and Nationwide Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 30(b)(6) witnesses requested by ABC, for which Federal currently estimates designating three; witnesses in response to the categories served by ABC, two of whom are in Southern California, and the third is in Northern California, and Nationwide has identified four witnesses in response to the categories served by ABC, two of whom are located in Northern California, one is located in Southern California, and the fourth is located in Columbus, Ohio; and
- (3) deposition subpoenas have been issued for the depositions of Plaintiff's former management team, Moshe Levy, Kobi Levy, and Yhuda Levy.
- 16. The Parties have been working diligently to coordinate the depositions each party believes are necessary in preparation for trial, and specifically expert disclosures. However, on June 7, 2018 Non-Party Wynn Las Vegas, LLC produced more than 45,000 documents as a supplemental response to Federal Insurance Company's Subpoena dated July 15, 2016. The Parties are continuing to complete the discovery outlined above.
- 17. For the reasons set forth above, and because good cause exists, the Parties hereby agree and stipulate to, and request the following proposed new schedule for disclosing experts and rebuttal experts be entered by the Court:

Event	Current Deadline	New Deadline
Disclosure of Experts	7/17/18	8/31/18

18. If the Court is not inclined to grant this request based on the above, or

1	requires additional information, the Pa	arties request a Scheduling Conference at the
2	Court's convenience.	
3	Dated: June 26, 2018.	
4	LAW OFFICES OF STEVEN J. PARSONS	HINES HAMPTON, LLP
5	/s/ Steven J. Parsons	/s/ Christine Emanuelson
6	STEVEN J. PARSONS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 363	CHRISTINE M. EMANUELSON Nevada Bar No. 10143
7	Attorney for Plaintiff,	Attorney for Defendant, NATIONWIDE
8	ABC INDUSTRIAL LAUNDRY, LLC PYATT SILVESTRI	MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY REMPFER MOTT LUNDY, PLLC
9	TATISEVESTA	REMITER MOTT BONDI, TEEC
10	<u>/s/ Brian Goldman</u> BRIAN W. GOLDMAN	/s/ Joseph Mott
11	Nevada Bar. No. 6317	JOSEPH N. MOTT Nevada Bar No. 12455
12	Attorney for Defendant, FEDERAL INSURANCE COMPANY	Attorney for Plaintiff, ABC INDUSTRIAL
13	TEDERTE INSURFACE COMPANY	LAUNDRY, LLC
14		ORDER
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
15 16	IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: July 9, 2018.	LIC MACIGEDATE HIDGE
		U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
16		Carrie Carrier
16 17	Dated: July <u>9</u> , 2018.	Carrie Carrier
16 17 18 19 20	Dated: July <u>9</u> , 2018.	Carrie Carrier
16 17 18 19 20 21	Dated: July <u>9</u> , 2018.	Carrie Carrier
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Dated: July <u>9</u> , 2018.	Carrie Carrier
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Dated: July 9, 2018.	Carrie Carrier
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Dated: July 9_, 2018.	Carrie Carrier
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Dated: July 9, 2018.	Carrie Carrier
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Dated: July 9_, 2018.	Carrie Carrier
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Dated: July 9_, 2018.	Carrie Carrier

ATTESTATION OF CONCURRENCE IN FILING

I hereby attest and certify that on, June 26, 2018, I received concurrence from Plaintiff's counsel, Steven J. Parsons and Joseph N. Mott, as well as Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company's counsel, Christine Emanuelson, to file this document with their electronic signatures attached.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

June 26, 2018.

/s/ Brian W. Goldman BRIAN W. GOLDMAN Nevada Bar No. 6317

11 /// 12 ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14 ///

15 | /// 16 | , , ,

17 | ///

18 ///

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

1	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
2	I hereby certify that on the 26th day of June, 2018, I electronically filed the
3	foregoing document or paper with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF
5	System which will send notification of such filing to the e-mail addresses denoted
6	
7	on the attached Electronic Mail Notice List, and I hereby certify that I have mailed
8	the foregoing document or paper via the United States Postal Service to the non-
9	CM/ECF participants indicated on the attached Manual Notice List.
10	I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of
12	America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on June 26, 2018.
13	Steven J. Parsons, Esq. 10091 Park Run Drive, Suite 200
14	Las Vegas, NV 89145-8868 T. (702) 384-9900
15	F: (702) 384-5900 Attorney for Plaintiff ABC INDUSTRIAL LAUNDRY, LLC
16 17	
18	Christine Emanuelson, Esq. Whitney C. Wilcher, Esq.
19	1601 Diamond Oaks Court Las Vegas, NV 89117
20	T. (702) 933-7829

F: (702) 974-1709

Attorney for Defendant,

NATIONWIDE MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY

and

1	Joseph N. Mott. Ess
1	Joseph N. Mott, Esq. Rempfer Mott Lundy, PLLC 10091 Park Run Dr., Suite 200
2	10091 Park Run Dr., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89145-8868
3	T: (702) 825-5303
4	F: (702) 825-4413
5	Attorney for Plaintiff ABC INDUSTRIAL LAUNDRY, LLC
6	
7	/s/Susan Clokev
8	<u>/s/Susan Clokey</u> Susan Clokey
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	